



计算机视觉表征与识别 Chapter 10: Recognition

王利民

媒体计算课题组

http://mcg.nju.edu.cn/



本科生大作业要求



- 综述性研究报告:针对一个专门话题,进行深入 调研分析,形成一篇高质量的综述。
- 培养:查阅文献,阅读文献,撰写文献的能力,可能启发对某个方向深入研究的兴趣。
- 提交格式: CVPR论文格式, 建议英文撰写。
- 调研范围: 顶级会议和顶级期刊论文, 以及最新的arXiv论文,
- 不要仅仅去看一些公众号、知乎、中文博客
 坦态时间、6日20日之前(比亚) 甘他8日
 - 提交时间:6月20号之前(毕业班),其他8月 20号



研究生大作业要求



- 目标:在一个方向做深入研究,最起码实现一篇 现有论文,有自己的思考和理解,鼓励创新。
- 提交内容包括: 报告和代码(评分依据)
- 报告格式: CVPR论文提交格式
 - 包含题目,摘要,引文,相关工作,具体技术路线, 实验结果与分析,结论。
- 代码:可以参考网上代码,但是核心代码需要自己编写
 - 我们会认真检查,自己对自己负责。 提交时间:2020年8月20号







- Low-level vision: denoise, super resolution etc.
- Edge detection
- Grouping and segmentation
- Local descriptor and image matching
- Deep learning for vision
- Tracking, video analysis
- Vision and language
- Weakly/Self supervised learning
- Transformer for vision
- Etc.





- Overview of image categorization
- Spatial pyramids bag-of-words categorizer
- Deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs)
- Object detection



What do you see in this image?







ategorization: describe, predict, or interact









How do we determine if something is a member of a particular category?

Definitional approach

Prototype approach

Exemplar approach



Definitional approach: classical view of categories

Plato & Aristotle

- Categories are defined by a list of properties shared by all elements in a category
- Category membership is binary
- Every member in the category is equal



Aristotle by Francesco Hayez

The Categories (Aristotle)

Slide Credit: A. A. Efros



Exemplars Model



Figure 7.3. Schematic of the prototype model. Although many exemplars are seen, only the prototype is stored. The prototype is updated continually to incorporate more experience with new exemplars.

Category judgments are made by comparing a new exemplar to the prototype.



Figure 7.4. Schematic of the exemplar model. As each exemplar is seen, it is encoded into memory. A prototype is abstracted only when it is needed, for example, when a new exemplar must be categorized.

Category judgments are made by comparing a new exemplar to all the old exemplars of a category or to the exemplar that is the most appropriate



Levels of categorization [Rosch 70s]

Definition of Basic Level:

• **Similar shape**: Basic level categories are the highest-level category for which their members have similar shapes.

• Similar motor interactions: ... for which people interact with its members using similar motor sequences.

• **Common attributes**: ... there are a significant number of attributes in common between pairs of members.





animal





Cat vs Dog







Object recognition



Caltech 101 Average Object Images





Fine-grained recognition



Visipedia Project





Place recognition





spare bedroom

teenage bedroom

romantic bedroom



darkest forest path





greener forest path



wooded kitchen



messy kitchen



stylish kitchen



misty coast



sunny coast

Places Database [Zhou et al. NIPS 2014]





Visual font recognition

Space Coast	Saturday
Top Ranked Fonts Adobe Caslon Pro Bold	Top Ranked Fonts Hypatia Sans Pro Black
Space Coast	Saturday
Rotation LT Std Bold	Gill Sans Std Bold
Gazette LT Std Bold	Montara Bold Gothic
Space Coast	Saturday
Space Coast	Saturday
Space Coast	Myriad Arabic Black Saturday



Image categorization



Image style recognition







Vintage



Minimal



Long Exposure



Macro



Noir



Hazy



Romantic



Flickr Style: 80K images covering 20 styles.







Northern Renaissance



Impressionism



Abs. Expressionism



Roccoco



Cubism



Post-Impressionism



Color Field Painting

Wikipaintings: 85K images for 25 art genres. Karayev et al. BMVC 2014





Object Category Detection







Region categorization



Semantic segmentation from RGBD images



Silberman et al. ECCV 2012



Region categorization







[Bell et al. CVPR 2015]



Region categorization



Layout prediction





Input





Multiple Segmentations



Surface Layout











Assign regions to depth Make3D [Saxena et al. PAMI 20@8]



Detection, semantic segmentation instance segmentation



image classification



object detection



semantic segmentation



instance segmentation



Categorization from supervised learning













- Overview of image categorization
- Spatial pyramids bag-of-words categorizer
- Deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs)
- Object detection



Example: Spatial Pyramid BoW Classifier



Features: spatially binned histograms of clustered SIFT descriptors

Classifier: SVM

Lazebnik et al. CVPR 2006







Histogram with clustering



- Cluster data (or partition) into K clusters, count how many samples appear in each cluster to get K-dim histogram
- Use the same cluster centers (or partitioning) for all images

Feature 2

bin





histint(
$$h_i, h_j$$
) = 1 - $\sum_{m=1}^{K} \min(h_i(m), h_j(m))$

Chi-squared Histogram matching distance

$$\chi^{2}(h_{i},h_{j}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{K} \frac{\left[h_{i}(m) - h_{j}(m)\right]^{2}}{h_{i}(m) + h_{j}(m)}$$

- Earth mover's distance (Cross-bin similarity measure)
 - minimal cost paid to transform one distribution into the other

[Rubner et al. The Earth Mover's Distance as a Metric for Image Retrieval, IJCV 2000]



Quantization

- Grids: fast but applicable only with few dimensions
- Clustering: slower but can quantize data in higher dimensions

Few Bins Need less data Coarser representation

Many Bins Need more data Finer representation

Matching

- Histogram intersection or Euclidean/Cosine may be faster
- Chi-squared often works better
- Earth mover's distance is good for when nearby bins represent similar values





Histograms of descriptors







Keypoint descriptor

SIFT – [Lowe IJCV 2004]

"Bag of visual words"



Training

- 1. Extract keypoints and descriptors for all training images
- 2. Cluster descriptors
- 3. Quantize descriptors using cluster centers to get "visual words"
- 4. Represent each image by normalized counts of "visual words"
- 5. Train classifier on labeled examples using histogram values as features

Testing

- 1. Extract keypoints/descriptors and quantize into visual words
- 2. Compute visual word histogram
- 3. Compute label or confidence using classifier



But what about spatial layout?







All of these images have the same color histogram 42



Spatial pyramid





Compute histogram in each spatial bin


Spatial pyramid





Lazebnik et al. CVPR 2006









Find the hyperplane that separate examples of different categories







Which of the linear separators is best?



<u>http://www.cs.utexas.edu/~mooney/cs391L/slides/svm.ppt</u> http://www1.cs.columbia.edu/~belhumeur/courses/biometrics/2010/svm.ppt





- Distance from example \mathbf{x}_i to the separator is $r = \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ Examples closest to the hyperplane are **support vectors**.
- *Margin* ρ of the separator is the distance between support vectors.





 Implies that only support vectors matter; other training examples are ignorable.





Spatial Pyramids Results





minaret (97.6%)



cougar body (27.6%)





windsor chair (94.6%)



joshua tree (87.9%)





okapi (87.8%)



ant (25.0%)

De la	

beaver (27.5%)



crocodile (25.0%)

	Weak features		Strong feat	ures (200)
L	Single-level	Pyramid	Single-level	Pyramid
0	15.5 ± 0.9		41.2 ± 1.2	
1	31.4 ± 1.2	32.8 ± 1.3	55.9 ± 0.9	57.0 ± 0.8
2	47.2 ± 1.1	49.3 ± 1.4	63.6 ± 0.9	64.6 ±0.8
3	52.2 ± 0.8	54.0 ±1.1	60.3 ± 0.9	64.6 ± 0.7

Table 2. Classification results for the Caltech-101 database.



Recap: Spatial Pyramid BoW Classifier



Features

- 1. Extract dense SIFT (spatially pooled and normalized histograms of gradients)
- 2. Assign each SIFT vector to a cluster number
- Compute histograms of spatially pooled clustered SIFT vectors
- Variations like Fisher vectors and 2nd order pooling shown to improve performance

Classifier

 Linear SVM (or slightly better performance with chisquared or histint SVMs)





- Overview of image categorization
- Spatial pyramids bag-of-words categorizer
- Deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs)
- Object detection







- 1950's: neural nets (perceptron) invented by Rosenblatt
- 1980's/1990's: Neural nets are popularized and then abandoned as being interesting idea but impossible to optimize or "unprincipled"
- 1990's: LeCun achieves state-of-art performance on character recognition with convolutional network (main ideas of today's networks)
- 2000's: Hinton, Bottou, Bengio, LeCun, Ng, and others keep trying stuff with deep networks but without much traction/acclaim in vision
- 2010-2011: Substantial progress in some areas, but vision community still unconvinced
 - Some neural net researchers get ANGRY at being ignored/rejected
- 2012: shock at ECCV 2012 with ImageNet challenge



2012 ImageNet 1K (Fall 2012)



57 Slide: Jia-bin Huang





Improvements in Object Detection





"CNN Features off-the-shelf: an Astounding Baseline for Recognition"





60 Razavian et al. CVPR 2014





Rewind...



Input



Rosenblatt, Frank (1958), The Perceptron: A Probabilistic Model for Information Storage and Organization in the Brain, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Psychological Review, v65, No. 6, pp. 386–408.

) Two-layer neural network Hidden Layer Input Output Layer Layer Input #1 Input #2 Output Input #3 Input #4

Can learn nonlinear functions provided each perceptron has a differentiable nonlinearity

Sigmoid:
$$g(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-t}}$$

64



Multi-layer neural network





Training of multi-layer networks

Find network weights to minimize the *training error* between true and estimated labels of training examples, e.g.:

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{i=1}^{N} \left(y_i - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2$$

• Update weights by gradient descent: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$



Training of multi-layer networks

a 😩 í

Find network weights to minimize the *training error* between true and estimated labels of training examples, e.g.:

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \mathop{\mathbf{a}}_{i=1}^{N} \left(y_i - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) \right)^2$$

Update weights by **gradient descent**: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$

- Back-propagation: gradients are computed in the direction from output to input layers and combined using chain rule
- Stochastic gradient descent: compute the weight update w.r.t. a small batch of examples at a time, cycle through training examples in random order in multiple epochs

67



Fully connected layer

image











Convolution as feature extraction









Input

Feature Map

73

Slide: Lazebnik







Slide: Lazebnik



Source: R. Fergus, Y. LeCun





Source: R. Fergus, Y. LeCun





Key idea: learn features and classifier that work well together ("end-to-end training")





- Average pooling
- Sigmoid or tanh nonlinearity
- Fully connected layers at the end
- Trained on MNIST digit dataset with 60K training examples

Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, <u>Gradient-based learning applied to document receptition</u>, Proc. IEEE 86(11): 2278–2324, 1998.



ast forward to the arrival of big visual data.



- ~14 million labeled images, 20k classes
 - Images gathered from Internet
 - Human labels via Amazon MTurk
 - ImageNet Large-Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC):
 1.2 million training images, 1000 classes


- Similar framework to LeNet but:
 - Max pooling, ReLU nonlinearity
- More data and bigger model (7 hidden layers, 650K units, 60M params)
 - GPU implementation (50x speedup over CPU)
 - Trained on two GPUs for a week
 - Dropout regularization

A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. Hinton, <u>ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional</u> <u>Neural Networks</u>, NIPS 2012 84





Sequence of deeper networks trained progressively

 Large receptive fields replaced by successive layers of 3x3 convolutions (with ReLU in between)



 One 7x7 conv layer with C feature maps needs 49C² weights, three 3x3 conv layers need only 27C² weights

K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Imag5 Recognition, ICLR 2015



M. Lin, Q. Chen, and S. Yan, Network in network, ICLR 2014







1x1 conv layer



90

- Parallel paths with different receptive field sizes and operations to capture sparse patterns of correlations
- 1x1 convolutions for dimensionality reduction before expensive convolutions



C. Szegedy et al., Going deeper with convolutions, CVPR 2015



C. Szegedy et al., <u>Going deeper with convolutions</u>, CVPR 2015 91









C. Szegedy et al., Going deeper with convolutions, CVPR 2015



ResNet: the residual module

- Introduce *skip* or *shortcut* connections (existing before in various forms in literature)
- Make it easy for network layers to represent the identity mapping
- For some reason, need to skip at least two layers



Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, <u>Deep Residual</u> 93 <u>Learning for Image Recognition</u>, CVPR 2016 (Best Paper)







Deeper residual module (bottleneck)



Directly performing 3x3 convolutions with 256 feature maps at input and output: 256 x 256 x 3 x 3 ~ 600K operations

Using 1x1 convolutions to reduce 256 to 64 feature maps, followed by 3x3 convolutions, followed by 1x1 convolutions to expand back to 256 maps: 256 x 64 x 1 x 1 ~ 16K 64 x 64 x 3 x 3 ~ 36K64 x 256 x 1 x 1 ~ 16KTotal: ~70K

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, Deep Residual 94Learning for Image Recognition, CVPR 2016 (Best Paper)Slide:



ResNet: going real deep



Revolution of Depth

AlexNet, 8 layers (ILSVRC 2012) VGG, 19 layers (ILSVRC 2014)



ResNet, 152 layers (ILSVRC 2015)

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, <u>Deep Residual</u> 95 <u>Learning for Image Recognition</u>, CVPR 2016

Bigger not better: innovations typically reduce parameters, despite deeper nets







- Convolutional layers
 - Same local functions evaluated everywhere → much fewer parameters
- Pooling
 - Larger receptive field and translational invariance
- ReLU: maintain a gradient signal over large portion of domain
- Limit parameters
 - Sequence of 3x3 filters instead of large filters (also encodes that local pixels are more relevant)
 - 1x1 convs to reduce feature dimensions
- Skip network
 - Prevents having to maintain early layers (just add residual)
 - Acts as ensemble





- Overview of image categorization
- Spatial pyramids bag-of-words categorizer
- Deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs)
- Object detection





- Focus on object search: "Where is it?"
- Build templates that quickly differentiate object patch from background patch



Dog Model



Object or **Non-Object**?



General Process of Object Recognition





What are the object parameters?





- 1. Statistical Template in Bounding Box
 - Object is some (x,y,w,h) in image
 - Features defined wrt bounding box coordinates







Template Visualization

Images from Felzenszwalb





- 2. Articulated parts model
 - Object is configuration of parts
 - Each part is detectable







Specifying an object model



3. Hybrid template/parts model

Detections











Template Visualization







root filters coarse resolution

part filters finer resolution

deformation models

Felzenszwalb et al. 2008



General Process of Object Recognition









- 1. Sliding window
 - Test patch at each location and scale







- 1. Sliding window
 - Test patch at each location and scale







2. Voting from patches/keypoints



ISM model by Leibe et al.





3. Region-based proposal













Endres Hoiem 2010



Sliding window vs. region proposals

Sliding window

- Comprehensive search over position, scale (sometimes aspect, though expensive)
- Typically 100K candidates
- Simple
- Speed boost through convolution often possible
- Repeatable
- Even with many candidates, may not be a good fit to object

Region proposals

- Search over regions guided by image contours/patterns with varying aspect/size
- Typically 2-10K candidates
- Random (not repeatable)
- Requires a preprocess (currently 1-5s)
- Often requires resizing patch to fit fixed size
- More likely to provide candidates with very good object fit



General Process of Object Recognition







General Process of Object Recognition





Optionally, rescore each proposed object based on whole set



Resolving detection scores



1. Non-max suppression





Resolving detection scores



2. Context/reasoning



(g) Car Detections: Local (h) Ped Detections: Local





Hoiem et al. 2006



- Replace sliding windows with "selective search" region proposals (Uijilings et al. IJCV 2013)
- Extract rectangles around regions and resize to 227x227
- Extract features with fine-tuned CNN (that was initialized with network trained on ImageNet before training)
- Classify last layer of network features with SVM



Fine-tuning example: ImageNet->VOC



- 1. Train full network on ImageNet 1000-class classification
- 2. Replace classification layer with output layer for VOC (e.g. confidences for 20 classes)
- Train on VOC pos/neg examples with low initial learning rate (1/10th what is used for new network)

Notes

- This usually works well if the "big data" task and target task are similar (object classification vs detection)
 - 0.45 AP without fine-tuning \rightarrow 0.54 AP with fine tuning; training only on VOC does much worse
- Not necessary if target task is also very big







bicycle (bg): ov=0.00 1-r=0.47

R-CNN results



Mistakes are often reasonable

Horse: AP = 0.69

Confident Mistakes



R-CNN results





horse (sim): ov=0.00 1-r=0.66



horse (sim): ov=0.00 1-r=0.50



Misses are often predictable



Bicycle

1	Occlusn.		Trnc	BE	BBox Area		As	Aspect Rat.		Sides Visible		de	· · · · ·		Parts	Parts Visible	
0.8	0.8 °	3 6	-10.87			10.01	88 	, 20 (.77	1 282 10.7	2	8.0 <u>7</u> 17.00	50.81	₽ .₩	82 19 18 7	9 30. 8	¹ 7%	81 30.6
0.6		: : - · - · - ·	- <mark>}</mark> or	53 ^{0.6}	6	<u>.</u>	0.6	5	<u>+</u>			- 40.6	3		ĮĖ	0.62	
		0.50								:					0.49		-16-1
0.4					· · ·						÷ :				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		··/···
				:				1 - - -									
0.2					r · ı ·			•••••									0.14
0				:		: :			: :		<u>.</u>		::	: :		<u></u>	<u> </u>
_	NLN	ИН	ΝT	XSS	S M	LXL	хтт	M	wxw	Dttm 0/1	front 0/1	rear 0/1	top 0/1	side 0/1	body 0/1	nndibrsseat 0/1 0/1	wheel 0/1

Small objects, distinctive parts absent or occluded, unusual views

R-CNN results



Fast R-CNN – Girshick 2015





- Compute CNN features for image once
- Pool into 7x7 spatial bins for each region proposal, output class scores and regressed bboxes
- 100x speed up of R-CNN (0.02 0.1 FPS → 0.5-20 FPS) with similar accuracy


- Convolutional features used for generating proposals and scoring
 - Generate proposals with "objectness" scores and refined bboxes for each of k "anchors"

sliding window

conv feature map

• Score proposals in same way as Fast R-CNN

conv layers

Similar accuracy to Fast R-CNN with 10x speedup





Faster R-CNN slightly better accuracy than Fast R-CNN

More data improves results considerably

Table 6: Results on PASCAL VOC 2007 test set with Fast R-CNN detectors and VGG-16. For RPN, the train-time proposals for Fast R-CNN are 2000. RPN* denotes the unsharing feature version.

method	# box	data	mAP	areo	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	persor	n plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv
SS	2000	07	66.9	74.5	78.3	69.2	53.2	36.6	77.3	78.2	82.0	40.7	72.7	67.9	79.6	79.2	73.0	69.0	30.1	65.4	70.2	75.8	65.8
SS	2000	07+12	70.0	77.0	78.1	69.3	59.4	38.3	81.6	78.6	86.7	42.8	78.8	68.9	84.7	82.0	76.6	69.9	31.8	70.1	74.8	80.4	70.4
RPN*	300	07	68.5	74.1	77.2	67.7	53.9	51.0	75.1	79.2	78.9	50.7	78.0	61.1	79.1	81.9	72.2	75.9	37.2	71.4	62.5	77.4	66.4
RPN	300	07	69.9	70.0	80.6	70.1	57.3	49.9	78.2	80.4	82.0	52.2	75.3	67.2	80.3	79.8	75.0	76.3	39.1	68.3	67.3	81.1	67.6
RPN	300	07+12	73.2	76.5	79.0	70.9	65.5	52.1	83.1	84.7	86.4	52.0	81.9	65.7	84.8	84.6	77.5	76.7	38.8	73.6	73.9	83.0	72.6
RPN	300	COCO+07+12	78.8	84.3	82.0	77.7	68.9	65.7	88.1	88.4	88.9	63.6	86.3	70.8	85.9	87.6	80.1	82.3	53.6	80.4	75.8	86.6	78.9



YOLO – Redmon et al. 2016



- 1. CNN produces 4096 features for 7x7 grid on image (fully conv.)
- Each cell produces a score for each object and 2 bboxes w/ conf
- 3. Non-max suppression
- 7x speedup over Faster RCNN (45-155 FPS vs. 7-18 FPS)
- Some loss of accuracy due to lower recall, poor localization









Yolo v2 – Redmon et al. 2017



- Batch normalization
- Pre-train on higher resolution ImageNet
- Use and improve anchor box idea from Faster RCNN
- Train at multiple resolutions
- Very good accuracy, very fast

	YOLO								YOLOv2
batch norm?		\checkmark							
hi-res classifier?			\checkmark						
convolutional?				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
anchor boxes?				\checkmark	\checkmark				
new network?					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
dimension priors?						\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
location prediction?						\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
passthrough?							\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
multi-scale?								\checkmark	\checkmark
hi-res detector?									\checkmark
VOC2007 mAP	63.4	65.8	69.5	69.2	69.6	74.4	75.4	76.8	78.6

Detection Frameworks	Train	mAP	FPS
Fast R-CNN [5]	2007+2012	70.0	0.5
Faster R-CNN VGG-16[15]	2007+2012	73.2	7
Faster R-CNN ResNet[6]	2007+2012	76.4	5
YOLO [14]	2007+2012	63.4	45
SSD300 [11]	2007+2012	74.3	46
SSD500 [11]	2007+2012	76.8	19
YOLOv2 288×288	2007+2012	69.0	91
YOLOv2 352×352	2007+2012	73.7	81
YOLOv2 416×416	2007+2012	76.8	67
YOLOv2 480×480	2007+2012	77.8	59
YOLOv2 544 \times 544	2007+2012	78.6	40



Reading list



- https://culurciello.github.io/tech/2016/06/04/nets.html
- Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, <u>Gradient-based learning applied to</u> <u>document recognition</u>, Proc. IEEE 86(11): 2278–2324, 1998.
- A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. Hinton, <u>ImageNet Classification with Deep</u> <u>Convolutional Neural Networks</u>, NIPS 2012
- D. Kingma and J. Ba, <u>Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization</u>, ICLR 2015
- M. Zeiler and R. Fergus, <u>Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks</u>, ECCV 2014 (best paper award)
- K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, <u>Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale</u> <u>Image Recognition</u>, ICLR 2015
- M. Lin, Q. Chen, and S. Yan, <u>Network in network</u>, ICLR 2014
- C. Szegedy et al., <u>Going deeper with convolutions</u>, CVPR 2015
- C. Szegedy et al., <u>Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision</u>, CVPR 2016
- K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, <u>Deep Residual Learning for Image</u> <u>Recognition</u>, CVPR 2016 (best paper award)



Influential Works in Detection



Sung-Poggio (1994, 1998) : ~2412 citations

- Basic idea of statistical template detection (I think), bootstrapping to get "face-like" negative examples, multiple whole-face prototypes (in 1994)
- Rowley-Baluja-Kanade (1996-1998) : ~4953
 - "Parts" at fixed position, non-maxima suppression, simple cascade, rotation, pretty good accuracy, fast
- Schneiderman-Kanade (1998-2000,2004) : ~2600
 - Careful feature/classifier engineering, excellent results, cascade
- Viola-Jones (2001, 2004) : ~27,000
 - Haar-like features, Adaboost as feature selection, hyper-cascade, very fast, easy to implement
- Dalal-Triggs (2005) : ~18000
 - Careful feature engineering, excellent results, HOG feature, online code
- Felzenszwalb-Huttenlocher (2000): ~2100
 - Efficient way to solve part-based detectors
- Felzenszwalb-McAllester-Ramanan (2008,2010): ~10788
 - Excellent template/parts-based blend
- Girshick-Donahue-Darrell-Malik (2014): ~19788
 - Region proposals + fine-tuned CNN features (marks significant advance in accuracy over hog-based methods)
- Redmon, Divvala, Girshick, Farhadi (2016): ~16386
 - Refine and simplify RCNN++ approach to predict directly from last conv layer